

Ju

Attorney Docket No. 23662.00

Customer No. 37833

Confirmation No. 2483

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN THE PATENT APPLICATION OF:

APPLICANT: AL BROWN et al.

APPL. NO. : 10/694,829

ART UNIT : 3635

FILED

: OCTOBER 29, 2003

EXAMINER: SLACK, N.

TITLE

: PLANT-ON TRIM ELEMENTS AND METHODS

MAIL STOP RESPONSE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

## RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Sir:

In the Office Action dated October 18, 2004, the Examiner required restriction under 35 U.S.C. § 121 prior to an examination on the merits of the above-identified application. The separate inventions identified by the Examiner are as follows:

- I. Claims 1-13, drawn to a plant-on trim and method of preparation
- II. Claims14-17, drawn to a method of forming a trim element.

The Examiner states that the inventions of Groups II and I are related as process and product made. In order to establish that the separate inventions of are distinct, the Examiner asserts that the trim

LITMAN LAW OFFICES, LTD. P.O. BOX 15035 ARLINGTON, VA 22215 (703) 486-1000 Application No. : 10/694,829

Art Unit : 3635

Attorney Docket No. 23662.00

Confirmation No. 2483

element of Group I can be made by a materially different process, "such as coextrusion of a shell and core

trim member."

In compliance with the Examiner's requirement, Applicants provisionally elect with traverse for

further prosecution the product defined by Claims 1-13 (designated as Group "I").

Applicants respectfully contend that the Examiner has failed to provide a reasonable example of

"a materially different process" for making the products of Groups I and II, and therefore does not meet

the criteria for distinctness as set forth in MPEP 806.05(f). Moreover, it would appear that a search and

examination of the entire application can be conducted without a serious burden on the Office.

Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner withdraw the restriction requirement and

issue an action on the merits of the claimed embodiments presently in the application. Alternatively,

should the Examiner maintain the requirement, Applicants await a complete action on the merits of the

elected subject matter.

Respectfully submitted,

Dolph H. Torrence

Registration No. 34,501

(703) 486-1000

DHT:RCL

LITMAN LAW OFFICES, LTD. P.O. BOX 15035 ARLINGTON, VA 22215 (703) 486-1000

2